WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

V.		_
Noe Esteban-Alanis	Case Number: 13-7117m	
vas present and was represented by counsel. I conclude lisk and order the detention of the defendant pending trial FIN	(f), the issue of detention has been submitted to the Court. Defer by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a serious flig in this case. DINGS OF FACT	
find by a preponderance of the evidence that:		
The defendant is not a citizen of the Unite	d States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.	
The defendant, at the time of the charged	offense, was in the United States illegally.	
If released herein, the defendant faces released herein, placing him/her beyond the deported or otherwise removed.	moval proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been	
The defendant has no significant contacts	in the United States or in the District of Arizona.	
The defendant has no resources in the Ur calculated to assure his/her future appear	nited States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably ance.	
The defendant has a prior criminal history		
The defendant lives/works in Mexico.		
The defendant is an amnesty applicant bu substantial family ties to Mexico.	t has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and ha	as
There is a record of prior failure to appear	in court as ordered.	
The defendant attempted to evade law en	forcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.	
The defendant is facing a maximum of	years imprisonment.	
The Court incorporates by reference the material formatter at the time of the hearing in this matter, except as no	indings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by toted in the record.	the
CONC	LUSIONS OF LAW	
 There is a serious risk that the defendant No condition or combination of conditions 	will flee. will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as requi	ired.
DIRECTIONS	REGARDING DETENTION	
n a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, bending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasor order of a court of the United States or on request of an at acility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Mar	Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confine from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in cust nable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. Of torney for the Government, the person in charge of the correction right for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court D THIRD PARTY RELEASE	tody On ns
APPEALS AN	JINIKU FAKIT KELEAJE	

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATE: 4/16/13

Bridget S. Bade United States Magistrate Judge